arXiv:1403.5789v1 [math.AG] 23 Mar 2014

# Recombination formulas for the spectrum of curve singularities and some applications

Dmitry Kerner

Abstract. We obtain some cut&paste formulas for the spectra of (complex, redcued) plane curve singularities.

## 1. Introduction

Consider a (complex, reduced) plane curve singularity $(C,0)\subset(\mathbb{C}^2,0)$. The singularity spectrum is a strong topological invariant of $(C,0)$, [Steenbrink1976]. It is a collection of rational numbers, $-1<\xi_1\leq\cdots\leq\xi_\mu<1$, here $\mu$ is the Milnor number of $(C,0)$. We use the additive notation: $\sum_{i=1}^{\mu} t^{\xi_i}$.

This work has originated from the following "experimental observation". Consider the (reduced) tangent cone $T_{(C,0)}=\{l_1,..,l_r\}$. Accordingly, consider the tangential decomposition $(C,0)=\bigcup_{\alpha=1}^{r}(C_\alpha,0)$. Here $(C_\alpha,0)$ can be further reducible, but its tangent cone consists of one (multiple) line and the tangent cones are distinct for different parts: $T_{(C_\alpha,0)}\cap T_{(C_\beta,0)}=\{0\}$. For each $\alpha$ the "directional approximation" is defined as follows. Let $(D,0)\subset(\mathbb{C}^2,0)$ be an ordinary multiple point of multiplicity $mult(C,0)-mult(C_\alpha,0)$, i.e. a collection of smooth pairwise non-tangent branches. Assume $T_{(C_\alpha,0)}\cap T_{(D,0)}=\{0\}$. Then the approximation of $(C,0)$ in the direction $\{l_\alpha\}$ is $(C^{(\alpha)},0)=(C_\alpha\cup D,0)$. This germ is not unique, but its embedded topological singularity type is well defined.

Using these notions the "experimental observation" on the spectrum can be stated as:

$$Spec(C,0)=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{r}Spec(C^{(\alpha)},0)-(r-1)Spec(x^m-y^m),\quad m=mult(C,0) \tag{1}$$

This relation might look unexpected, it becomes more transparent if one compares the first blowup of both sides (schematically):

(2)



Here $\equiv$ denotes the equality of classes in an abelian group defined later.

The relation above is just the simplest among numerous relations of singularity spectrum. In this paper we give a method to construct many relations of this type. Given some singularity types, $\{C_i,0\}$ , and some integers, $\{a_i\}$, we write $\sum a_i(C_i,0)\equiv 0$ if $\sum a_i Spec_{(C_i,0)}=0$. Usually we write this in terms of (partial) resolution, e.g. as in equation (2).

## 2. Preliminaries

2.1. **The spectral pairs.** Let $(S,0)$ be a normal surface singularity which is a rational homology sphere. Consider a reduced curve singularity, $(C,0)\subset(S,0)$. Consider its embedded good resolution, see the diagram.

Here

- $U$ is a smooth surface, $\pi$ is proper and $U\setminus\{E_i\}\xrightarrow{\sim}(S,0)\setminus\{0\}$.
- $\{E_i\}$ are smooth projective curves, $|E_i\cap E_j|\leq 1$. $\tilde{C}\to(C,0)$ is the strict transform, $\tilde{C}$ is the multi-germ. All the intersections in $\tilde{C}\cup\{E_i\}$ are normal crossings.
- $m_i=ord_{E_i}\pi^*(f)$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}\tilde{C} & \subset & (U,\{E_i\})\\ \downarrow & & \downarrow\pi\\ (C,0) & \subset & (S,0)\\ \downarrow & & \downarrow f\\ \{0\} & \subset & (\mathbb{C}^1,0)\end{array}$$

As $(S,0)$ is a rational homology sphere, the dual graph, $\Gamma=\{E_i\}$, is a tree of $\mathbb{P}^1$'s.

Define the "chronology" on $\Gamma$ as follows. Choose any irreducible component of $\{E_i\}$, call it the base point, $E_*$. For any other $E_i$ consider the shortest path from $E_i$ to $E_*$ in $\Gamma$. (As $\Gamma$ is a tree, this path is unique.) Denote the nearest

*Date*: March 25, 2014.

Most of the results were obtained in Oberwolfach during my stay as the Leibnitz Fellow (2011). Further, I was partially supported by the grant FP7-People-MCA-CIG, 334347.

We appreciate the computational power of "Singular".

neighbour of $E_i$ on this path by $E_{p(i)}$. We often take as $E_*$ the first exceptional divisor that is born at the first blowup of $(S,0)$.

We recall the description of the spectral pairs, $Spp(C,0) = \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} t^{[\xi_i, m_i]}$, from [Schr.Stee.Stev.], see also [Thành-Steenbrink-89] for the spectrum.

For each component $i \in \Gamma \setminus *$ introduce the following greatest common divisors:

$$\delta_i = gcd(m_i, m_{p(i)}), \quad r_i = \begin{cases} 1: \ if \ \tilde{C} \cap E_i \neq \varnothing \\ gcd(m_i, \{m_j\}_{E_j \cap E_i \neq \varnothing}): \ if \ \tilde{C} \cap E_i = \varnothing \end{cases}. \tag{3}$$

Using them we construct the following parts of the spectrum:

$$\begin{aligned} a_i &= \sum_{\substack{0<s<m_i \\ m_i \nmid s r_i}} \Big( -1 + |\tilde{C} \cap E_i| \tfrac{s}{m_i} + \sum_{E_j \cap E_i \neq \varnothing} \{\tfrac{s m_j}{m_i}\} \Big) \Big( t^{[\frac{s}{m_i} - 1, 1]} + t^{[1 - \frac{s}{m_i}, 1]} \Big) \\ b_i &= \sum_{0<s<r_i} \Big( t^{[-\frac{s}{r_i}, 2]} + t^{[\frac{s}{r_i}, 0]} \Big), \quad c_{i \neq *} = \sum_{0<s<\delta_i} \Big( t^{[-\frac{s}{\delta_i}, 2]} + t^{[\frac{s}{\delta_i}, 0]} \Big), \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

(Here $\{x\}$ is the fractional part of $x$.) Then, [Schr.Stee.Stev., Theorem 2.1]:

$$Spp(C,0) = \sum_{i \in \Gamma} a_i + \sum_{* \neq i \in \Gamma} (c_i - b_i) - b_* + (|\tilde{C} \cap E| - 1) t^{[0,1]} \tag{5}$$

2.2. **Some typical singularity types.** In this paper we work with embedded topological singularity types and associated invariants. Thus, by a curve singularity $(C,0)$, we mean any representative of the given singularity type. We often depict the singularity type by its (partial) resolution or by the dual graph.

(1) Denote the ordinary multiple point (represented e.g. by $x^p = y^p$) of multiplicity $p$ by




(2) Denote the singularity type of $(x^p - y^p)(y^q - x^{2q})$ by




(3) The resolution of $(y^{p_1} - x^{p_1})(y^{p_2} - x^{2p_2})(y^{2p_3} - x^{3p_3})$ is given by




$$\begin{aligned} m_1 &= p_1 + p_2 + 2p_3 \\ m_2 &= p_1 + 2p_2 + 3p_3 \\ m_3 &= 2p_1 + 3p_2 + 6p_3 \end{aligned}$$

(4) More generally, the dual graph for $(y^{p_1} - x^{p_1}) \prod_{i=2}^{k} (y^{(i-1)p_i} - x^{ip_i})$ is:




$$\begin{aligned} m_1 &= p_1 + p_2 + 2p_3 + \cdots + (k-1)p_k \\ m_2 &= m_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{k} p_i \\ &\cdots \\ m_k &= (k-1)m_1 + p_2 + 2p_3 + \cdots + (k-1)p_k = km_1 - p_1 \end{aligned}$$

For further reference we record the Milnor numbers:

**Lemma 2.1.**

1. $\mu\Big( (y^{p_1} - x^{p_1}) \prod_{i=2}^{k} (y^{(i-1)p_i} - x^{ip_i}) \Big) = (p_1 - 1)^2 + 2p_1 \sum_{i=2}^{r} (i-1)p_i + \sum_{i=2}^{r} (p_i(i-1) - 1)(ip_i - 1) + (1 - r) + 2 \sum_{2 \leq i < j} j p_i p_j.$
2. $\mu\Big( (y^{p_1} - x^{p_1}) \prod_{i=2}^{k} (y^{(i-1)p_i} - x^{ip_i}) \Big) - \mu\Big( y^{p_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{r} (i-1)p_i} - x^{p_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{r} (i-1)p_i} \Big) = 1 + \sum_{i=2}^{r} (p_i^2(i-1) - p_i) + 2 \sum_{2 \leq i < j}^{r} (i-1) p_i p_j.$

*Proof.* We use the classical relation for $\delta$-invariants: $\delta(\bigcup_i(C_i,0)) = \sum_i \delta(C_i,0) + \sum_{1\le i<j} deg\Big((C_i,0),(C_j,0)\Big)$. Here the last term is the sum of the intersection numbers. To compute the Milnor number we use the relation $\delta = \frac{\mu+r-1}{2}$.

In our case: $(C_1,0) = \{x^{p_1} = y^{p_1}\}$, while $(C_{i>1},0) = \{y^{(i-1)p_i} = x^{ip_i}\}$. Therefore:

$$\mu(x^{p_1}-y^{p_1}) = (p_1-1)^2, \quad \mu(y^{(i-1)p_i}-x^{ip_i}) = (i-1)p_i-1)(ip_i-1) \quad and \quad deg\Big((C_1,0),(C_i,0)\Big) = p_1(i-1)p_i. \tag{6}$$

It remains to compute $deg\Big((C_i,0),(C_j,0)\Big) = p_ip_j deg\Big(y^{(i-1)}-x^i, y^{(j-1)}-x^j\Big)$, for $1<i<j$. This intersection multiplicity can be computed by restricting the ideal $(y^{(j-1)}-x^j)$ to the local ring of $y^{(i-1)} = x^i$. Namely, inside the ring $\Bbbk[[t^{i-1},t^i]]$ one considers the ideal generated by $t^{ij-j}-t^{ij-i}$. We want to compute $dim_{\Bbbk}\Bbbk[[t^{i-1},t^i]]\Big/(t^{ij-j}-t^{ij-i})$.

The conductor of the local ring is $t^{(i-1)(i-2)}$ and the basis (as a $\Bbbk$-vector space) is: $1, t^{i-1}, t^i, t^{2i-1}, \dots, \{t^{(i-1)(i-2)+k}\}_{k=0,1\dots}$. Therefore the conductor of the ideal is $t^{(i-1)(i-2)+ij-j}$, while the $\Bbbk$-basis of the ideal is $t^{ij-j}-t^{ij-i}, t^{i-1}(t^{ij-j}-t^{ij-i}), t^i(t^{ij-j}-t^{ij-i}), \dots, \{t^{(i-1)(i-2)+ij-j+k}\}_{k=0,1,\dots}$. Note that the gaps of the ideal are in the natural bijection with the gaps of the ring. Finally,

$$dim_{\Bbbk}\Bbbk[[t^{i-1},t^i]]\Big/(t^{ij-j}-t^{ij-i}) = ij-j-\sharp(gaps\ of\ \Bbbk[[t^{i-1},t^i]]) + \sharp(gaps\ of\ the\ ideal) = (i-1)j \tag{7}$$

Thus for $i<j$: $deg\Big((C_i,0),(C_j,0)\Big) = p_ip_j(i-1)j$. Substitute all this into the relation for $\delta$-invariant, to get:

$$\frac{\mu+\sum_{i=1}^r p_i - 1}{2} = \frac{(p_1-1)^2 + \sum_{i=2}^r((i-1)p_i-1)(ip_i-1) + \sum_{i=1}^r(p_i-1)}{2} + p_1\sum_{i=2}^r(i-1)p_i + \sum_{1<i<j}^r p_ip_j(i-1)j, \tag{8}$$

proving the first statement.

The second statement follows by subtraction, $\mu - (p_1+\sum(i-1)p_i-1)^2$. ∎

## 3. Additivity of the spectrum

**Lemma 3.1.** *Consider a (good) embedded resolution $\tilde{C}\subset(U,\{E_i\})\to(S,0)\supset(C,0)$. Then $Spec(C,0) = \sum_{i\in\Gamma}\sum_{|k|<m_i} d_{|k|,i}t^{\frac{k}{m_i}}$,*

*where $d_{k,i} = -1 + |\tilde{C}\cap E_i|\frac{m_i-k}{m_i} + \sum_{\substack{E_j\cap E_i\neq\varnothing\\ j\neq p(i)}}\{-\frac{km_j}{m_i}\} + \underbrace{1-\{\frac{km_{p(i)}}{m_i}\}}_{only\ if\ i\neq *}$*

*Proof.* The proof is just a rearrangement of the original expression in equation (5). We need only the spectral values, thus, ignoring the second arguments in $t^{[\xi,q]}$, we get $Sp(C,0) = \sum_{i\in\Gamma} Spec_{E_i}$, where each $Spec_{E_i}$ consists of the contributions from $E_i$.

First note that $b_i\neq 0$ only if $r_i>1$ i.e. $\tilde{C}\cap E_i = \varnothing$. But in this case $m_i\nmid sr_i$ iff $\frac{s}{m_i} = \frac{s'}{r_i}$ for some $s'\in\mathbb{N}$ iff $\{\frac{sm_j}{m_i}\} = 0$. Therefore:

$$\sum_{\substack{0<s<m_i\\ m_i\nmid sr_i}}\Big(\dots\Big) - b_i = \sum_{0<s<m_i}\Big(\dots\Big). \tag{9}$$

So, we get: $Spec_{E_i} = \sum_{0<s<m_i}\Big(\dots\Big) + c_i + |\tilde{C}\cap E_i|t^0 - \underbrace{t^0}_{if\ i=*}$, with the convention $c_i = \begin{cases} 0: & i=* \\ \sum_{0<s<\delta_i}\Big(t^{-\frac{s}{\delta_i}} + t^{\frac{s}{\delta_i}}\Big) & \end{cases}$.

We claim that this expression coincides with the stated one. In the case $i=*$ the coincidence is directly seen. So we consider the case $i\neq *$. By renaming $s\to m_i-s$ we get:

$$Spec_{E_i} = \sum_{0<s<m_i}\Big(-1+|\tilde{C}\cap E_i|\frac{m_i-s}{m_i} + \sum_{E_j\cap E_i\neq\varnothing}\{-\frac{sm_j}{m_i}\}\Big)\Big(t^{\frac{s}{m_i}}+t^{-\frac{s}{m_i}}\Big) + \sum_{0<s<\delta_i}(t^{\frac{s}{\delta_i}}+t^{-\frac{s}{\delta_i}}) + |\tilde{C}\cap E_i|t^0 \tag{10}$$

Note that $\{-\frac{sm_{p(i)}}{m_i}\} = 0$ iff $\frac{s}{m_i} = \frac{s'}{\delta_i}$, for some (unique) $\delta_i > s' \in \mathbb{N}$. Further, note that the function $h(x) = \begin{cases} \{-x\} : x \notin \mathbb{Z} \\ 1 : x \in \mathbb{Z} \end{cases}$ can be written in the form $h(x) = 1 - \{x\}$. Therefore

$$Spec_{E_i} = \sum_{0<s<m_i} \Big( -1 + |\tilde{C} \cap E_i| \frac{m_i - s}{m_i} + \sum_{\substack{E_j \cap E_i \neq \varnothing \\ j \neq p(i)}} \{-\frac{sm_j}{m_i}\} + \underbrace{1 - \{\frac{sm_{p(i)}}{m_i}\}}_{only\ if\ i \neq *} \Big) (t^{\frac{s}{m_i}} + t^{\frac{-s}{m_i}}) + |\tilde{C} \cap E_i| t^0. \tag{11}$$

Now the statement follows. ∎

## 4. Cut-and-paste formulae

Suppose after the i'th blowup one gets a smooth surface with the configuration as on the first picture. Here $D$ denotes some collection of curve singularities, while the blackbox denotes the rest of exceptional divisors and the remaining part of the strict transform, $\tilde{C} \setminus D$. Consider the associated singularity types, defined by the partial resolutions. On the second picture the curve singularities of $D$ are replaced by several smooth curve germs that intersect $E_i$ normally. On the third picture the rest of exceptional divisors is replaced by a collection of smooth germs.




**Theorem 4.1.**

1. 


*or dually:* 


2. *For any reduced curve singularity the spectrum is a linear combination of the "intermediate" types:*

$$(C,0) \equiv \sum_{\{m_1,\dots,m_k\}} \overset{[m_1E_1]}{\bullet} \overset{[m_kE_k]}{\bullet} \overset{[m_{k-1}E_{k-1}]}{\bullet} \cdots \overset{[m_2E_2]}{\bullet} - \sum_{m_i} \overset{[m_jE_j]}{\bullet}$$

*Here the first sum consists of the singularities of types $(y^{p_1} - x^{p_1}) \prod_{i=2}^{k} (y^{(i-1)p_i} - x^{ip_i})$, while the second sum consists of the ordinary multiple points. The number of summands in the first sum is by one bigger than that in the second sum.*

3. *If all the branches of $(C,0)$ are smooth then the decomposition simplifies:*

$$(C,0) \equiv \sum_{\{m_1,m_2\}} \overset{[m_1E_1]}{\bullet} \overset{[m_2E_2]}{\bullet} - \sum_{m_i} \overset{[m_jE_j]}{\bullet}$$

4. *Finally, the intermediate types decompose as follows:*

$$\overset{[m_1E_1]}{\underset{p_1}{\bullet}} \overset{[m_kE_k]}{\underset{p_k}{\bullet}} \overset{[m_{k-1}E_{k-1}]}{\underset{p_{k-1}}{\bullet}} \cdots \overset{[m_2E_2]}{\underset{p_2}{\bullet}} \equiv \overset{[m_2E_1]}{\bullet} + \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} \Big( \overset{[m_iE_1]}{\underset{m_i - m_1 - \sum_{j>i} p_i}{\bullet}} \overset{[m_{i+1}E_2]}{\underset{m_1 + \sum_{j>i} p_i}{\bullet}} - \overset{[m_{i+1}E_1]}{\underset{m_{i+1}}{\bullet}} \Big) + \tag{12}$$

$$+ \overset{[m_1E_1]}{\underset{p_1}{\bullet}} \overset{[(2m_1-p_1)E_2]}{\underset{m_1-p_1}{\bullet}} - \overset{}{\underset{2m_1-p_1}{\bullet}} + \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} \Big( \overset{}{\underset{im_1-p_1}{\bullet}} - \overset{[(im_1-p_1)E_1]}{\underset{(i-1)m_1-p_1}{\bullet}} \overset{[(i+1)m_1-p_1)E_2]}{\underset{m_1}{\bullet}} \Big)$$

*Proof.* 1. Use the presentation of spectrum obtained in lemma 3.1. As the expression is additive, $Spec(C,0) = \sum_i Spec_{E_i}$, it is enough to check each $Spec_{E_j}$. As the cut-and-glue operation occurs only at $E_i$, we should check only $Spec_{E_i}$.

Further, the expression for $Spec_{E_i}$ is additive on $\tilde{C} \cap E_i$ and $E_j \cap E_i$.

2. The proof is an easy induction on the minimal number of blowups needed to resolve the singularity. Blowup $(C,0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^2,0)$ once, let $E_1$ be the exception divisor, and $\tilde{C}^1$ the strict transform. If the intersection $E_1 \cap \tilde{C}^1$ is non-transverse in at least two (distinct) points then apply equation (2). (It is a particular case of relations of part 1.) Therefore we can assume that either $\tilde{C}^1$, $E_1$ intersect transversely everywhere, i.e. $(C,0)$ was an ordinary multiple point,

or the intersection is non-transverse precisely at one point. In the later case blowup at this point. Let $E_2$ be the new component, let $\tilde{C}^2$ be the current strict transform of $(C,0)$, denote the strict transform of $E_1$ by $\tilde{E}_1$.

By the construction, the intersection of $\tilde{C}^2$ with $\tilde{E}_1 \setminus E_2$ is transverse. If the intersection of $\tilde{C}^2$ with $\tilde{E}_1 \cup E_2$ is transverse then we get the statement. If the intersection of $\tilde{C}^2$ with $E_2 \setminus \tilde{E}_1$ is non-transverse, apply part 1. Then one get a singularity whose resolution length is smaller than that of $(C,0)$, hence one uses the induction assumption. The remaining case is: the only non-transversality occurs at $\tilde{E}_1 \cap E_2$. In this case blowup this point $\tilde{E}_1 \cap E_2$ and continue in the same way.

3. If all the branches of $(C,0)$ are smooth, then no branch of the strict transform passes through the intersection point $E_i \cap E_j$. Thus we do not need to blowup at the point $E_i \cap E_j$.

4. **Step 1.** We prove the elementary decomposition:

(13)



Here $m = p_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{k}(i-1)p_i$, while $\{\tilde{p}_i\}_{i=1,\dots,k-1}$ are some additional multiplicities, satisfying $m = p_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{k-1}(i-1)\tilde{p}_i$, and such that the corresponding graphs are the (dual) resolution graphs of some reduced plane curve singularities.

In terms of the representatives of singularity types this equality can be written as:

$$(14)\quad Spec\left((y^{p_1}-x^{p_1})\prod_{i=2}^{k}(y^{(i-1)p_i}-x^{ip_i})\right) - Spec\left((y^{p_k+m}-x^{(k-1)(p_k+m)})\prod_{i=2}^{k-1}(y^{p_i}-x^{(i-1)p_i})\right) =$$
$$= Spec\left((y^{p_1}-x^{p_1})\prod_{i=2}^{k-1}(y^{(i-1)\tilde{p}_i}-x^{i\tilde{p}_i})\right) - Spec\left((y^{\tilde{p}_{k-1}+m}-x^{(k-2)(\tilde{p}_{k-1}+m)})\prod_{i=2}^{k-2}(y^{\tilde{p}_i}-x^{(i-1)\tilde{p}_i})\right) +$$
$$+ Spec\left(x^{(k-1)m-p_1}-y^{(k-1)m-p_1}\right) - Spec\left((x^m-y^m)(y^{(k-2)m-p_1}-x^{2(k-2)m-2p_1})\right)$$

The resolution of the singularity $\left\{(y^{p_1}-x^{p_1})\prod_{i=2}^{k}(y^{(i-1)p_i}-x^{ip_i})=0\right\}$ is on the right. Here $m_1 = p_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{k}(i-1)p_i$, $m_2 = m_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{k} p_i$, $m_3 = 2m_1 + p_2 + 2\sum_{i=2}^{k} p_i$, $\dots m_k = (k-1)m_1 + p_2 + 2p_3 + \dots + (k-1)p_k = km - p_1$.




We should compare the sums of spectra in both parts.

Use the presentation of lemma 3.1, $Spec(C,0) = \sum_{i\in\Gamma} Spec_{E_i}$. Note that there is the natural correspondence between $\{E_i\}$ of all the participants. (It is helpful to we draw the actual resolutions.) For each $E_i$ of each participant the contribution consists of the part $|\tilde{C}\cap E_i|\frac{m_i-k}{m_i}t^{\frac{k}{m_i}}$ and the part related to all the vertices, $\{E_i \cap E_j\}$. By direct check: the parts coming from $|\tilde{C}\cap E_i|\frac{m_i-k}{m_i}t^{\frac{k}{m_i}}$ coincide.

It remains to check the parts coming from the vertices. Again, the comparison of the resolution shows that most of the vertices cancel, we have to compare only the following contributions:

(15)



(Note: the strict transform $\tilde{C}$ and the rest of exceptional divisors are not drawn here.)

We write the formulas corresponding to the pictures. In all the cases we choose $*=2$.

$$(16)\quad \Big(\sum_{|s|<m_k} t^{\frac{s}{m_k}}(-1+1+\{-\frac{sm}{m_k}\})+\sum_{|s|<m} t^{\frac{s}{m}}(-1+1-\{\frac{sm_k}{m}\})\Big)-\Big(\sum_{|s|<m_k} t^{\frac{s}{m_k}}(-1+1)\Big)\stackrel{?}{=}$$

$$\stackrel{?}{=}\Big(\sum_{|s|<m_k-m} t^{\frac{s}{m_k-m}}(-1+1+\{-\frac{sm}{m_k-m}\})+\sum_{|s|<m} t^{\frac{s}{m}}(-1+1-\{\frac{s(m_k-m)}{m}\})\Big)-\Big(\sum_{|s|<m_k-m} t^{\frac{s}{m_k-m}}(-1+1)\Big)+$$

$$+\Big(\sum_{|s|<m_k-m} t^{\frac{s}{m_k-m}}(-1)\Big)-\Big(\sum_{|s|<m_k} t^{\frac{s}{m_k}}(-1+1-\{\frac{s(m_k-m)}{m_k}\})+\sum_{|s|<m_k-m} t^{\frac{s}{m_k-m}}(-1+\{-\frac{sm_k}{m_k-m}\})\Big)$$

By direct check: everything cancels.

**Step 2.** Now we use the formulae (13), (14) recursively:

(17)




Finally, present

(18)




Recal that $m_k=km_1-p_1$, thus $m_k-jm_1=(k-j)m_1-p_1$. This gives the statement

■

4.1. **The non-additivity of spectral pairs.** The spectral pairs are more delicate invariants, they are not additive, even in the simplest cases.

For example, consider the singularity of the type $(C,0)=\{\prod_{i=1}^{q}(l_i^p+y^{2p}+x^{2p})=0\}\subset(\mathbb{C}^2,0)$, where $\{l_i\}$ are pairwise non-proportional linear forms. The resolution is on the diagram, the spectral pairs are:




$$(19)\quad Spp(C,0)=q\sum_{0<s<pq+p}\Big(p-1-\lfloor\frac{s}{q+1}\rfloor\Big)\Big(t^{[-\frac{s}{pq+q},1]}+t^{[\frac{s}{pq+q},1]}\Big)+(q-1)\sum_{0<s<p}\Big(t^{[-\frac{s}{p},2]}+t^{[\frac{s}{p},0]}\Big)+(pq-1)t^{[0,1]}$$

The natural wish is to use the "tangential decomposition", as in equation (2):

$$(20)\qquad Spp(C,0)=?=qSpp\Big((x^{p(q-1)}+y^{p(q-1)})(x^p+y^{2p})\Big)-(q-1)Spp(x^{pq}+y^{pq})$$

But this decomposition does not hold for the spectral pairs. Indeed:

$$(21)\quad Spp\Big((x^{p(q-1)}+y^{p(q-1)})(x^p+y^{2p})\Big)=\sum_{0<s<pq+p}\Big(p-1-\lfloor\frac{s}{q+1}\rfloor\Big)\Big(t^{[-\frac{s}{pq+q},1]}+t^{[\frac{s}{pq+q},1]}\Big)+$$

$$+\sum_{0<s<pq}\Big(pq-p-1-s+\lceil\frac{s}{q}\rceil\Big)\Big(t^{[-\frac{s}{pq},1]}+t^{[\frac{s}{pq},1]}\Big)+\sum_{0<s<p}\Big(t^{[-\frac{s}{p},2]}+t^{[\frac{s}{p},0]}\Big)+(pq-1)t^{[0,1]}$$

while

$$(22)\qquad Spp(x^{pq}+y^{pq})=\sum_{0<s<pq}(pq-1-s)\Big(t^{[-\frac{s}{pq},1]}+t^{[\frac{s}{pq},1]}\Big)+(pq-1)t^{[0,1]}$$

Thus $Spp(C,0)\neq qSpp\Big((x^{p(q-1)}+y^{p(q-1)})(x^p+y^{2p})\Big)-(q-1)Spp(x^{pq}+y^{pq})$

4.2. **The non-additivity of the Seifert form.** The Seifert form is not additive either. Even a weaker invariant: the collection of equivariant signatures of the Seifert form, [Schr.Stee.Stev., Proposition 3.1] is not additive.

In the example of section 4.1 one has:

$$\begin{array}{l} for\ \prod_{i=1}^{q}(l_i^p+y^{2p}+x^{2p}):\quad \sigma^-_{\frac{s}{pq+p}}=q\Big(p-\lfloor\frac{s}{q+1}\rfloor-\lfloor-\frac{s}{q+1}\rfloor\Big)\\ for\ (x^{p(q-1)}+y^{p(q-1)})(x^p+y^{2p}):\quad \sigma^-_{\frac{s}{pq+p}}=p-\lfloor\frac{s}{q+1}\rfloor-\lfloor-\frac{s}{q+1}\rfloor,\quad \sigma^-_{\frac{s}{pq}}=pq-p-2s+\lfloor\frac{s}{q}\rfloor-\lfloor-\frac{s}{q}\rfloor\\ for\ (x^{pq}+y^{pq}):\quad \sigma^-_{\frac{s}{pq}}=pq-2s \end{array} \tag{23}$$

## 5. Applications

5.1. **Singularity types with coinciding spectrum.** The theorem gives a very simple way to construct curve singularities of different topological types, whose spectra coincide. For example:

(24)




though the topological types are different.

5.2. **A relative point of view.** The relations of theorem 4.1 and equation 13 suggests that in many cases it is useful to consider not the curve singularity itself, but the difference: $[(C,0)]:=(C,0)-(x^m=y^m)$, where $m=mult(C,0)$. Then, for any invariant that is compatible with the cut&paste formulas we define $inv[(C,0)]:=inv(C,0)-inv(x^m=y^m)$. Thus, to prove an inequality $inv(C,0)>0$ it is enough to verify: $inv(x^m-y^m)>0$ and $inv[(C,0)]>0$. In many cases it is simpler to check $inv[(C,0)]>0$ than $inv(C,0)$.

5.3. **The generalization of Durfee bound.**

**Proposition 5.1.** $\mu(C,0)+\frac{2mult(C,0)}{(1-\alpha)^2}>\frac{2}{(1-\alpha)^2}|Spec(C,0)\cap(-1,-\alpha]|$

This bound is far from being sharp, we hope to improve it in the next versions of the paper.

*Proof.* As explained in the previous section it is enough to check the bound for the ordinary multiple point and for the difference $(y^{p_1}-x^{p_1})\prod_{i=2}^{r}(y^{(i-1)p_i}-x^{ip_i})-(y^{p_1+\sum_{i>1}^{r}(i-1)p_i}-x^{p_1+\sum_{i>1}^{r}(i-1)p_i})$.

For the ordinary multiple point, $x^m-y^m$: $\mu=(m-1)^2$, $Spec=\sum_{|k|<m}t^{\frac{k}{m}}(m-k-1)$. Thus

$$|Spec\cap(-1,-\alpha]|=\sum_{k\geq\alpha m}(m-k-1)=\binom{m-\lfloor\alpha m\rfloor}{2}=\binom{m-\alpha m}{2}+\frac{\{\alpha m\}(2m-2\alpha m-1)+\{\alpha m\}^2}{2}. \tag{25}$$

Altogether:

$$\mu-\frac{2}{(1-\alpha)^2}|Spec\cap(-1,-\alpha]|=1+\frac{\{\alpha m\}(1-\{\alpha m\})}{(1-\alpha)^2}+m\frac{2\alpha-1-2\{\alpha m\}}{(1-\alpha)} \tag{26}$$

The formula for the spectrum is written in lemma 3.1. In our case it gives:

(27)

$$\begin{aligned} Spec\Big(y^{p_1}-x^{p_1})\prod_{i=2}^{r}(y^{(i-1)p_i}-x^{ip_i})\Big)=&\sum_{|k|<m_1}t^{\frac{k}{m_1}}\Big(p_1-1-\frac{p_1k}{m_1}+\{-\frac{m_rk}{m_1}\}\Big)+\sum_{|k|<m_2}t^{\frac{k}{m_2}}\Big(p_2-\frac{p_2k}{m_2}-\{\frac{m_3k}{m_2}\}\Big)+\\ &+\sum_{i=3}^{r}\sum_{|k|<m_i}t^{\frac{k}{m_i}}\Big(p_i-\frac{p_ik}{m_i}-\{\frac{m_{i+1}k}{m_2}\}+\{-\frac{m_{i-1}k}{m_2}\}\Big),\quad here\ m_{r+1}:=m_1 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(28)\quad |Spec\Big(y^{p_1}-x^{p_1})\prod_{i=2}^{r}(y^{(i-1)p_i}-x^{ip_i})\Big)\cap(-1,-\alpha]| = \sum_{i\geq 1}\sum_{k\geq\lfloor\alpha m_i\rfloor}^{m_i-1}\Big(p_i-\frac{p_ik}{m_i}-\underbrace{1}_{only\ for\ i=1}\Big)+$$
$$+\underbrace{\sum_{k\geq\lfloor\alpha m_1\rfloor}^{m_1-1}\{-\frac{m_rk}{m_1}\}-\sum_{k\geq\lfloor\alpha m_2\rfloor}^{m_2-1}\{\frac{m_3k}{m_2}\}+\sum_{i=3}^{r}\sum_{k\geq\lfloor\alpha m_i\rfloor}^{m_i-1}\Big(\{-\frac{m_{i-1}k}{m_i}\}-\{\frac{m_{i+1}k}{m_i}\}\Big)}_{Frac^{(1)}_{m_1,\dots,m_r}}$$

Now we can write the difference:

$$(29)\quad \Big|Spec\Big(y^{p_1}-x^{p_1})\prod_{i=2}^{r}(y^{(i-1)p_i}-x^{ip_i})\Big)\cap(-1,-\alpha]\Big| - \Big|Spec\Big((y^{p_1+\sum_{i>1}^{r}(i-1)p_i}-x^{p_1+\sum_{i>1}^{r}(i-1)p_i})\Big)\cap(-1,-\alpha]\Big| =$$
$$=\sum_{i\geq 2}\sum_{k\geq\lfloor\alpha m_i\rfloor}^{m_i-1}\Big(p_i-\frac{p_ik}{m_i}\Big)-\sum_{k\geq\lfloor\alpha m_1\rfloor}^{m_1-1}\Big(m_1-p_1)(1-\frac{p_1k}{m_1}\Big)+Frac_{m_1,\dots,m_r}=$$
$$=\sum_{i\geq 2}\frac{p_i}{m_i}\binom{m_i-\lfloor\alpha m_i\rfloor+1}{2}-\frac{m_1-p_1}{m_1}\binom{m_1-\lfloor\alpha m_1\rfloor+1}{2}+Frac^{(1)}_{m_1,\dots,m_r}$$

Present $\lfloor\alpha m_i\rfloor=\alpha m_i+\{\alpha m_i\}$ to get:

$$(30)\quad \Delta(Spec)=(1-\alpha)^2\frac{\sum_{i\geq 1}p_im_i-m_1^2}{2}+(1-\alpha)\frac{\sum_{i\geq 1}p_i-m_1}{2}+$$
$$+\underbrace{\sum_{i\geq 1}\Big(p_i\{\alpha m_i\}+p_i\frac{\{\alpha m_i\}+\{\alpha m_i\}^2}{2m_i}\Big)-\Big(m_1\{\alpha m_1\}+\frac{\{\alpha m_1\}+\{\alpha m_1\}^2}{2}\Big)}_{Frac^{(2)}}+Frac^{(1)}_{m_1,\dots,m_r}$$

Now use $m_{i+1}-m_i-m_1=\sum_{j\geq i+1}p_j$, $i>1$, and $m_2-m_1=\sum_{j\geq 2}p_j$ to get: $m_i-im_1=\sum_{j\geq 2}p_j+\sum_{j\geq 3}p_j+\dots+\sum_{j\geq i}p_j$. Therefore

$$(31)\quad \sum_{i\geq 1}p_im_i-m_1^2=\sum_{i\geq 2}p_im_i-(m_1-p_1)m_1=\sum_{i\geq 2}p_i(m_i-(i-1)m_1)=\sum_{i\geq 2}p_i\Big(\sum_{j\geq 2}p_j+\sum_{j\geq 3}p_j+\dots+\sum_{j\geq i}p_j\Big)=$$
$$=\sum_{i\geq 2}p_i\Big(\sum_{j\geq 2}^{i}(j-1)p_j+(i-1)\sum_{j>i}^{r}p_j\Big)=\sum_{i\geq 2}(i-1)p_i^2+2\sum_{2\leq i<j}(i-1)p_ip_j$$

Altogether we get:

$$(32)\qquad \Delta(Spec)=(1-\alpha)^2\frac{\sum_{i\geq 2}(i-1)p_i^2+2\sum_{2\leq i<j}(i-1)p_ip_j}{2}+(1-\alpha)\frac{\sum_{i\geq 2}(2-i)p_i}{2}+Frac^{(2)}+Frac^{(1)}_{m_1,\dots,m_r}$$

This gives the difference:

$$(33)\qquad \Delta(\mu)-\frac{2}{(1-\alpha)^2}\Delta(Spec)=1-\sum_{i=1}^{r}p_i+\frac{\sum_{i\geq 2}(i-2)p_i}{1-\alpha}-Frac^{(2)}-Frac^{(1)}_{m_1,\dots,m_r}$$

Finally, remark that $Frac^{(2)}\leq\sum_{i\geq 1}p_i+r$, while $Frac^{(1)}\leq 0$. This gives the bound. ∎

5.4. **Stabilizations of curve singularities with small $|\mu_0+\mu_+|$.** Consider an isolated surface singularity $(X,0)\subset(\mathbb{C}^3,0)$. The intersection form on the homology of the Milnor fibre, $H_*(X_F,\mathbb{R})$, is determined by the triple $(\mu_-,\mu_0,\mu_+)$, i.e. the number of negative/ zero/positive indices. And old question is the classification of surface singularities with low value of $\mu_0+\mu_+$. The cases $\mu_0+\mu_+\leq 2$ have been classified by Ebeling and others. Note that by the semicontinuity of spectrum we have $\mu_-(S,0)\geq\mu_-(x^m+y^m+z^m=0)$ and similarly for $(\mu_++\mu_0)$. Thus $\mu_-[(S,0)]\geq 0$ and $(\mu_++\mu_0)[(S,0)]\geq 0$ and one should study the cases of low $(\mu_++\mu_0)[(S,0)]\geq 0$.

We consider a particular case: surface singularities which are stabilizations of curve singularities, $(X,0)=\{f(x,y)+z^2=0\}$. Then the first statement of theorem 4.1 reduces the study of $(\mu_++\mu_0)[(C,0)]$ to the "intermediate types". In particular we record:

**Lemma 5.2.** $(\mu_+ + \mu_0)[(x^{p_1} + y^{p_1})(x^{p_2} + y^{2p_2})] = \binom{\lceil \frac{p_2}{2} \rceil}{\frac{p_2}{2}} - \frac{1-(-1)^{p_1 p_2}}{2} \frac{p_2-1}{2}$.

## References


[AGLV] V.I.Arnol'd, V.V.Goryunov, O.V.Lyashko, V.A.Vasil'ev, *Singularity theory. I.* Reprint of the original English edition from the series Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences [ Dynamical systems. VI, Encyclopaedia Math. Sci., 6, Springer, Berlin, 1993]. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998. iv+245 pp. ISBN: 3-540-63711-7

[Schr.Stee.Stev.] R.Schrauwen, J.Steenbrink, J.Stevens, *Spectral pairs and the topology of curve singularities.* Complex geometry and Lie theory (Sundance, UT, 1989), 305-328, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 53, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1991.

[Steenbrink1976] J.H.M.Steenbrink, *Mixed Hodge structure on the vanishing cohomology.* Real and complex singularities (Proc. Ninth Nordic Summer School/NAVF Sympos. Math., Oslo, 1976), pp. 525-563. Sijthoff and Noordhoff, Alphen aan den Rijn, 1977

[Thành-Steenbrink-89] Lê Văn Thành, J.H.M.Steenbrink, *Le spectre d'une singularité d'un germe de courbe plane.* Acta Math. Vietnam. 14 (1989), no. 1, 87-94



Department of Mathematics, Ben Gurion University of the Negev, P.O.B. 653, Be'er Sheva 84105, Israel.
*E-mail address*: dmitry.kerner@gmail.com